

Todd M. Friedman, Esq. (SBN: 216752)  
tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com  
Suren N. Weerasuriya, Esq. (SBN: 278521)  
Sweerasuriya@attorneysforconsumers.com  
**LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.**  
324 S. Beverly Drive, Suite #725  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
Telephone: (877) 206-4741  
Facsimile: (866) 633-0228  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**DIANA DIAZ,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**CAPITAL RECOVERY  
CORPORATION,**

Defendant.

**Case No.:**

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff, Diana Diaz (“Plaintiff”) brings this Complaint for restitution, injunctive relief, statutory damages, and any other available equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant, Capital Recovery Corporation (“Defendant”) in violation of California Business and Professions Code §§17200, et seq., in violation of the California Rosenthal Fair Debt

1 Collection Practices Act (“RFDCPA”), and in violation of the federal Fair  
2 Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”). This lawsuit is premised on  
3 Defendants’ unfair and fraudulent business practices, and conduct by  
4 Defendant, a debt collector, which is prohibited by the FDCPA and the  
5 RFDCPA.  
6  
7

- 8 2. Plaintiffs allege as follows upon personal knowledge as to themselves and  
9 their own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information  
10 and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys.  
11

12 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**  
13

- 14 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1332,  
15 because the amount in controversy in this case exceeds \$75,000.00, and  
16 because complete diversity of citizenship exists due to the following: 1)  
17 Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California; and 2) Defendant is a company  
18 registered in the state of Georgia with its principal place of business also in the  
19 State of Georgia.  
20  
21

- 22 4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Central District of  
23 California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because events giving  
24 rise to Plaintiffs’ claim took place within the County of Santa Barbara, in the  
25 Central District of California, in the state of California.  
26  
27

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff is a resident of Santa Barbara County, and is a citizen of the State of California, and is a “consumer” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. Section 1692a(3) and is a “debtor” as defined by Cal. Civ. Code Section 1788.2(h).
6. Defendant is a company doing business in the State of California, and at all relevant times, was a company engaged, by the use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a “debt,” as defined by 15 U.S.C. Section 1692a(5), and a “consumer debt,” as defined by Cal. Civ. Code Section 1788.2(f). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due to another, and therefore is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. Section 1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal. Civ. Code Section 1788.2(c).

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

7. On or about July 18, 2012, Plaintiff was injured while working in the food-service department (“The Kitchen”) at the Queen of the Valley Hospital in West Covina, California, in the County of Los Angeles. Plaintiff was injured when she slipped and fell.
8. The Kitchen was operated by Sodexo, Inc., and Plaintiff was advised by Sodexo, Inc.’s manager, Tom Harney, that her injury would be treated at Sodexo, Inc.’s expense through Sodexo, Inc.’s worker’s compensation carrier,

1 at their contracted clinic, US Healthworks, Inc., at 6520 N. Irwindale Ave.,  
2 Ste. 100, Irwindale, Ca 91702.  
3

4 **9.** Medical services for Plaintiff were provided by Sodexo, Inc. until Sodexo, Inc.  
5 stopped paying for Plaintiff's medical services. Further medical services were  
6 denied by Sodexo, Inc.'s worker's compensation carrier, Travelers of  
7 Sacramento.  
8

9 **10.** As a result of Travelers of Sacramento's refusal to pay US Healthworks, Inc.,  
10 the debt was referred to a debt collection agency, Defendant. Defendant has an  
11 established contract with US Healthworks, Inc. to collect debts on US  
12 Healthworks, Inc.'s behalf.  
13

14 **11.** Defendant violated the law when attempting to collect the alleged debt owed  
15 from Plaintiff.  
16

17 **12.** Because of the circumstances of the instant legal matter, Plaintiff obtained  
18 Carey David Abramowitz ("Abramowitz") as her attorney.  
19

20 **13.** On several occasions, including, but not limited to, on or around February 3,  
21 2014, and on April 4, 2014, Plaintiff informed Defendant that she had  
22 obtained counsel, and gave to Defendant the contact information for  
23 Abramowitz.  
24

25 **14.** Plaintiff expected that Abramowitz would secure payment from the relevant  
26 insurance company so that collection efforts towards Plaintiff would cease.  
27  
28

1 **15.** Defendant being informed of Plaintiff's legal representation notwithstanding,  
2 Defendant continued collection efforts, and continued to contact Plaintiff  
3 directly, without permission from Abramowitz.  
4

5 **16.** Defendant continued to send directly to Plaintiff dunning letters regarding the  
6 alleged debt owed. Said letters included the language "with attorney" next to  
7 Plaintiff's name, which evidences Defendant's acknowledgement that Plaintiff  
8 had retained counsel.  
9  
10

11 **17.** Plaintiff learned that Abramowitz had failed to make contact with Defendant,  
12 and every other relevant entity in this instant legal action. However, Defendant  
13 was still aware, as evidenced by its letters to Plaintiff, stating Plaintiff's name  
14 and then "with attorney," that Plaintiff was represented by counsel.  
15  
16

17 **18.** After Abramowitz, Plaintiff then hired legal representation regarding the  
18 instant matter with the Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman.  
19

20 **19.** During all relevant times, the dunning letters sent to Plaintiff by Defendant  
21 were false and misleading, and Defendant thereby used false and deceptive  
22 practices in connection with the collection of the alleged debt owed. Said  
23 letters informed and warned Plaintiff not to forward said letter to Plaintiff's  
24 insurance company, and that Plaintiff's insurance company would not pay for  
25 the medical services rendered.  
26  
27  
28

1 **20.** Furthermore, the letters sent to Plaintiff did not include the required language  
2 pursuant to the RFDCPA, which, *intra alia*, informs Plaintiff, or any debtor,  
3 their rights under the RFDCPA. This language is often referred to as a “mini-  
4 miranda.”  
5

6  
7 **21.** Additionally, Defendant failed to send the required notices pursuant to 15  
8 U.S.C. Section 1692g.  
9

10 **22.** As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA, Plaintiff  
11 suffered and continues to suffer injury to PLAINTIFF’S feelings, personal  
12 humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and  
13 Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff’s actual damages, statutory  
14 damages, and costs and attorney’s fees.  
15

16  
17 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS**  
18 **AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTIONS §§17200, ET SEQ.**  
19

20 **23.** Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this  
21 Complaint as though fully stated herein.  
22

23 **24.** Defendant engaged in unfair *and* fraudulent business practices with regards to  
24 Plaintiff.  
25

26 **25.** Through these fraudulent and unfair business acts and practices, Defendant has  
27 engaged in unfair competition within the meaning of California Business and  
28 Profession Code section 17200 et seq., and are subject to the relief available  
under that statute.

1 **26.**Plaintiff has been injured by Defendants' acts of unfair competition.

2 **27.**These violations are continuing and will continue unless enjoined by this  
3  
4 Court.

5 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA**  
6 **BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTIONS §17500, ET SEQ.**

7 **28.**Plaintiff incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint  
8  
9 as though fully stated herein.

10 **29.**In the course of engaging in the above detailed unfair and fraudulent business  
11 practices, Defendants made materially false and misleading statements to  
12  
13 Plaintiff.

14 **30.**Plaintiff has been injured by Defendants' acts of unfair competition.

15 **31.**These violations are continuing and will continue unless enjoined by this  
16  
17 Court.

18 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19  
20 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter judgment against  
21 Defendant, and in favor of Plaintiff as follows:

22  
23 **A.** That the Court declare the conduct of Defendant to be in violation of  
24 California Business and Professions Code Sections 1700 et seq., and 17500  
25 et seq.;

26  
27 **B.** That, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17203,  
28 the Court permanently enjoin and restrain Defendant and its officers,

1 directors, agents, employees, successors, assignees, subsidiaries,  
2 transferees, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf thereof  
3 or in concert therewith, in any manner, directly or indirectly, from any  
4 engaging in the above detailed fraudulent and unfair business practices;  
5

6  
7 **C.** That, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 17203  
8 and 17535, the Court permanently enjoin and restrain Defendant and its  
9 officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, assignees, subsidiaries,  
10 transferees, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf thereof  
11 or in concert therewith, in any manner, directly or indirectly, from making  
12 misleading and untrue omissions as detailed above;  
13  
14

15 **D.** That, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 17202,  
16 17203 the Court impose all applicable penalties, forfeitures and penal laws  
17 upon Defendant, including those provided in California Business and  
18 Professions Code sections 17500 and 17581;  
19  
20

21 **E.** That, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 17203,  
22 17535 the Court direct restitution of all money and property Defendant  
23 have acquired by means of their unfair competition, including but not  
24 limited to all membership fees and dues paid by Plaintiffs and the Class  
25 members.  
26  
27

28 **F.** That the Court award plaintiff its costs of suit, including reasonable



1 attorneys' fees; and

2 **G.** That the Court awards such other and further relief as may appear  
3  
4 necessary and appropriate.

5 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA**  
6 **ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT §§1788,**  
7 **ET SEQ.**

8 **32.**Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this  
9  
10 Complaint as though fully stated herein.

11 **33.**To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA,  
12  
13 those actions were done knowingly and willfully.

14 **34.**Defendant's conduct violated the RFDCPA and FDCPA in multiple ways,  
15  
16 including but not limited to:

- 17 a) Where Defendant had not yet made an attempt to contact  
18 Plaintiff's counsel or had not given Plaintiff's counsel  
19 sufficient time to respond to the initial attempt to  
20 communicate with Plaintiff's counsel, and where Plaintiff's  
21 counsel had not given Defendant permission to contact  
22 Plaintiff directly, communicating with Plaintiff directly  
23 after learning that Plaintiff is being represented by counsel  
24 (§ 1692c(a)(2));
- 25 b) Using false representations and deceptive practices in  
26 connection with collection of an alleged debt from Plaintiff  
27 (§ 1692e(10));
- 28 c) Failing to notify Plaintiff during the initial communication  
with Plaintiff that the communication was an attempt to  
collect a debt and any information obtained would be used  
for that purpose (§ 1692e(11));

1 d) Using unfair or unconscionable means against Plaintiff in connection  
2 with an attempt to collect a debt (§ 1692f)); and

3  
4 e) Failing to provide Plaintiff with the notices required by 15 USC §  
5 1692g, either in the initial communication with Plaintiff, or in  
6 writing within 5 days thereof (§ 1692g(a)).

7 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8  
9 **35.** WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered  
10 against Defendant for the following:

- 11 A. Actual damages;  
12 B. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;  
13 C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and  
14 D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA**  
16 **FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT §§1692, ET**  
17 **SEQ.**

18 **36.** Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this  
19 Complaint as though fully stated herein.

20  
21 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

22 **37.** WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against  
23 Defendants for the following:

- 24  
25 A. Actual damages;  
26 B. Statutory damages;  
27 C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,  
28 D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

///  
28

**TRIAL BY JURY**

**38.**Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and hereby demands, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted March 25, 2015

By: /s/Todd M. Friedman

Todd M. Friedman

Suren N. Weerasuriya

LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN

Attorney for Plaintiff